Tour of Guantánamo Offers a Look, but Little Else

By JEREMY W. PETERS

GUANTÁNAMO BAY, Cuba — Welcome to Guantánamo Bay, where your tour guide will never leave your side but may not be able to answer any of your questions.

Several times a month, the military parades groups of journalists through the detention camps at the naval station here in an effort to clear up what it says are “common misrepresentations” about the way the camps’ 176 detainees are treated. The tours — part of a package deal complete with lodging, ground transportation and three meals a day from the Navy mess hall — offer what the Pentagon promotes as a behind-the-scenes peek into one of the government’s most secretive missions in the campaign against terror.

Gleaning any information about the system of camps and military tribunals operating in the constitutional gray area that is Guantánamo Bay has always been difficult for the reporters and nongovernment observers working here. And this week, as the first detainee trial since President Obama took office opened, the question of access for journalists has become a particularly tense point of dispute.

Military officials and lawyers for news organizations that are fighting the Pentagon’s ground rules, including The New York Times, are working to agree on conditions that permit greater openness. For now, though, the journalists covering the trial of Omar Khadr, who was captured on the battlefield in Afghanistan at age 15 in 2002, operate in an environment where access to the most basic information about the identities of the detainees and details of the legal proceedings against them are kept from the public.

But so, too, are even innocuous–seeming details about daily life inside the Guantánamo detention camps — the military’s slogan for the operation, “Safe, Humane, Legal, Transparent,” notwithstanding.

Over the course of the two-day tours, journalists are shown reading materials from the detainee library, where Harry Potter and other novels are available in Arabic. Taking
pictures of the books is fine as long as they do not reveal the code the librarian uses to file them. Pictures of sailboats and pieces of fruit drawn by the detainees, however, are off limits. The military is concerned that detainees might have hidden messages in them. “But at this time you are free to enjoy them,” a military guide told journalists passing through last week.

Journalists can taste baklava from the kitchen where detainees’ meals are prepared and photograph “comfort items” the detainees receive, like prayer rugs and Sudoku games. But don’t ask about the brand of hot sauce the detainees use.

The camps are inaccessible to the general public; the only way most people can learn about what goes on there is through the eyes of the journalists who are granted permission by the Pentagon to take the tours. But what the media are allowed to see is so controlled that it can raise more questions than answers. Reporters are not allowed to speak to detainees, nor can photographers take pictures that could identify them, even though many of their names have become public through military legal proceedings like those this week.

“A lot of information is privileged and need-to-know,” explained Cmdr. Bradley A. Fagan, a public affairs director for the Navy in Guantánamo Bay. “And there is just some information we won’t give out.”

Commander Fagan and his staff say repeatedly that they go to great lengths to accommodate the news media, and they seem to feel they are often punished for their efforts at transparency. On a dry erase board in Commander Fagan’s office last week was a note to his staff: “Top story this week: Rules inconvenience reporters.”

During their visits, journalists must agree to limits on what they can report and document. Among the biggest sources of disagreement between the media and the public affairs officers on the base have been rules that restrict what kinds of photos can be taken. Every photo in a camera brought by a journalist to the naval base is reviewed — regardless of whether it was taken there or not. This has led to some awkward moments between military censors and the reporters and photographers who have come to Guantánamo with personal pictures on their cameras.

“I’ll be like, ‘O.K., just tell me when I can look,’ ” said Sgt. Cody Black of the Army, who reviews photos and video, as he recalled the times he had seen more than he bargained for.

The distinctions between what is an acceptable photo and what is not can seem contradictory. Pictures of occupied guard towers will get through the censors. Pictures of empty guard towers will not. The military says this is so that enemies of the United States cannot identify which towers are manned and which are not, even though guards are
constantly rotated in and out of towers. Pictures of parts of the detention facilities where
there are no guard towers are generally acceptable, as are pictures of signs that say “No
Photography.”

Any photo or video that catches the slightest outline of a water tower or an antenna will be
deleted, even though some of those objects are so large that they are visible by satellite or to
anyone looking at the base from the other side of the fence that separates American land
from Cuba.

Video journalists often bear the brunt of the censoring. A crew from RTV Slovenia watched
in dismay last week as a portion of its video was deleted; a gust of wind had lifted a sheet
drying on a clothesline outside one of the detention camps, revealing the face of a detainee
for a second. Another part of the video had to go after a migrant worker — one of a
population on the naval base whom military officials forbid reporters from speaking to —
quickly turned and showed his face while the Slovenian cameraman filmed the kitchen.

Military officials said the identities of the detainees were kept secret because revealing them
could make it appear as if the United States were showcasing the detained, a violation of the
Geneva Conventions. The identities of migrant workers are protected, they said, to shield
their families from retaliation in their home countries.

Much of the media tour is intended to convey that the 176 men the government is holding at
Guantánamo are being treated humanely. Camp guards describe the curriculum for
detainees, which includes a living-skills course on home budgeting and résumé writing.
Military personnel frequently point out the arrows that have been stenciled to the floors of
many rooms. They point to Mecca, officials explain, so Muslim detainees know which
direction to face when they pray. Camp personnel also often mention that the detainees are
given 20 minutes of quiet time five times a day in which to pray.

“This is not something you will see in Afghanistan,” said Petty Officer First Class Maria
Blanchard, a public affairs officer for the Navy. “The country does not stop for 20 minutes.”

Conversations between journalists and their military minders can veer into semantic
disagreements. A discussion between a reporter and military physicians about how many
detainees had attempted suicide became an argument over the difference between a suicide
attempt and a suicide gesture. No matter. The doctors would not divulge either figure, nor
would they say how many detainees were in the psychiatric hospital, which they would not
allow reporters to see. The government also will not say exactly how many detainees are on
hunger strikes and being force-fed by military doctors.
During a tour of the kitchen, a reporter asked the food manager what kind of hot sauce detainees were given. Petty Officer Blanchard interjected, “We try not to get into name brands.” When the reporter pointed out to her that she had just encouraged journalists to inspect and take pictures of a tray filled with Yoplait yogurt, Kellogg’s cereal and Smucker’s jam, she explained that the kitchen staff would display different brand names for the next group of journalists, so the military would not appear to be favoring one product over another.

Most of the camp guards and personnel have scripts for the visiting media and largely stick to them. On a tour of Camp 5, a maximum-security camp for detainees the military deems “noncompliant,” the commanding officer rattled off statistics about the building. It was modeled after a prison in Terre Haute, Ind. It was shipped in pieces to the naval base on a barge, then assembled.

As he wrapped up his presentation, which he conducted with his back to the Slovenian television camera to conceal his identity, he said flatly: “This concludes my tour. Do you guys have any questions?”