



Can one idea be energy's holy grail?

By **Thom Patterson**, CNN

June 27, 2011 7:38 a.m. EDT | Filed under: **Innovation**



"I like to do some things differently, I'm nonconventional, but I'm not a rebel," says fusion energy pioneer Martin L. Laberge.

Laberge has never done, create a controlled "net gain" fusion reaction that creates more energy than is required to produce it. It's the same process that powers our sun. If it works, it

could solve huge problems like climate change, the energy crunch and reliance on foreign oil.

But the competition to get there first is stiff. Thousands of scientists backed by the world's most powerful governments are racing against Laberge and his 50 colleagues working at an office park lab near Vancouver, British Columbia.

"This is a bit crazy -- the small guy trying to win the same thing as the big guys," admits the 49-year-old physicist. Some observers in the physics community wonder if upstarts like Laberge are being strangled by giant multibillion-dollar research projects.

Laberge says he's never wanted to rub shoulders with the cool kids at top-shelf facilities at [Oak Ridge, Tennessee](#), and [Livermore, California](#). "It's very boring to work on the big projects," says Laberge. They're "too big, too expensive, too complicated."

But don't call him a rebel. "I like to do some things differently," Laberge says. "I'm nonconventional, but I'm not a rebel."

He fears the next generation, including his own two children, are threatened by a [world that's running out of fuel](#). "If we don't do something about energy we're going to be living in little huts with windmills on top," says Laberge. "For food, you're going to be growing tomatoes on

Laberge quit his job to invent a "glorified jackhammer" that was 10 years ago.

He's betting more than \$30 million on the jackhammer idea, which may be the key to a new source of energy -- a safe, clean power source called hot fusion.

ADVERTISEMENT



Print Powered By FormatDynamics™

ADVERTISEMENT



CNN.com

the backside."

A decade ago, it was Laberge's self-described mid-life crisis that brought him to a career crossroads. Despite success designing technology for printing direct mail materials, he remained unsatisfied. "I was cutting the forest and burying you under junk mail," he remembers. "I said, 'What am I doing here?'"

Laberge took a chance and left Creo to chase his longtime fascination with fusion.

"I had fusion on the brain," he recalls.

"I sat at home on my couch for about six months, to the great despair of my wife, calculating all sorts of fusion schemes." Eventually, Laberge had his "aha" moment: a precision controlled piston that hammers giant shock waves into a magnetized sphere -- slamming atoms together hard enough to fuse and create energy.

The idea triggered investments in Laberge's young company, first from family and friends, then from venture capitalists including Amazon.com founder Jeff Bezos. So far, funding has totaled \$32.5 million.

That sounds like a lot until you consider that the world's biggest fusion research facility -- under construction in France -- is expected to cost \$20 billion. That's billion with a "b."

Named [ITER](#) -- the Latin word for "journey" -- the project is funded and staffed by the United States, European Union and five other nations.

[China announced in May it will train 2,000 scientists for fusion research.](#) Beijing "is going gung ho on this," says Glen Wurden, a top fusion scientist at the cradle of the atom bomb: [New Mexico's Los Alamos National Laboratory](#). The facility has joined Laberge's company, [General Fusion](#), in a cooperative research agreement.

Does Laberge have a shot? His idea is "definitely worth studying," Wurden says.

ADVERTISEMENT



Print Powered By  FormatDynamics™

ADVERTISEMENT



CNN.com

Even [Ned Sauthoff](#), ITER's U.S. project manager, is cheering for smaller fusion researchers.

"I would love to see that fusion can be done so economically, and so I hope they succeed," Sauthoff says. "ITER is the way that you go if you really want high confidence. But you have to pay more for high confidence."

The ITER facility won't be complete until 2017. Best case, ITER's first net gain fusion reaction would take place sometime after 2019.

Another giant fusion project, the [National Ignition Facility](#) at California's Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, is using the world's largest lasers to attempt a fusion breakthrough by 2012 at a cost of about \$5 billion.

[Can world's largest laser zap our energy woes?](#)

"ITER and NIF are expensive and they take lots of energy," says Wurden. "We think there is a cheaper solution between the two."

"Basically, glorified jackhammers are cheaper than lasers," Laberge says with a laugh.

General Fusion aims to achieve net gain fusion experimentally in 2012. By 2018, it plans to complete a power plant prototype that would generate 100 megawatts, enough to power

about 100,000 homes.

"We would like to be in a commercial stage of being able to take orders and build power plants by the end of the decade," said Michael Delage, General Fusion VP of business development.

Fusion could change everything -- or not

Could fusion change the way powerful governments behave on the world stage?

Cutting dependence on foreign oil could prompt nations to shift attention away from oil-rich regions. The U.S. military already spends at least

ADVERTISEMENT



Print Powered By  FormatDynamics™

ADVERTISEMENT



CNN.com

\$50 billion yearly on "expenditures related to oil," according to the American Security Project, a bipartisan Washington think tank.

The fuel for fusion reactors is relatively cheap and accessible. Fusion reactors would run on fuel made up of two types of hydrogen: deuterium, which can be extracted from sea water, and tritium, which could be produced by the fusion reactors themselves.

If fusion sounds familiar it's because science has been promising it for decades.

A historic fusion breakthrough is "really close," Wurden says, but developing a successful commercial fusion power plant is further off.

"So, if somebody tells you they're going to solve global warming with nuclear fusion three years from now just laugh them out of the street. OK? It's not going to happen."

"Fusion physicists are probably some of the worst people in the world at predicting the future in terms of how easy it's going to be for the next step," says Mike Dunne, Livermore's program director of laser fusion energy.

Fusion differs from conventional nuclear power because it makes energy by smashing atoms together to create new atoms instead of splitting them apart.

This year, Japan's nuclear plant crisis after an earthquake and tsunami showed the hazards posed by deadly radioactive fuel rods, which eventually must be disposed of safely.

In fusion, there is no threat of a meltdown and no waste from the fuel. Although the reactor and its components will become radioactive after years of exposure to the process, this radioactivity disappears after a few decades. Conventional nuclear fuel rods need thousands of years to lose radioactivity.

But [anti-nuclear groups](#) have expressed concern about whether fusion research opens a door to

ADVERTISEMENT



Print Powered By  FormatDynamics™

ADVERTISEMENT



CNN.com

nuclear weapons proliferation. Tritium can be used to boost the power of nuclear weapons. Fusion research, they say, could contribute to development of a so-called pure fusion weapon.

"The government did look at this in some detail," said Dunne, who added that there are always fringe groups who are suspicious of "nefarious activities" when it comes to nuclear research.

Washington is comfortable that this technology provides no opportunities "for nuclear proliferation or advancement of other country's weapons capability," said Dunne. The development of commercial fusion, he says, has no defense applications.

Giant sucking sound?

The current budget-slashing climate on Capitol Hill doesn't bode well for fusion research. The 2012 federal budget is expected to provide about \$400 million total.

But now that Congress is taking a hard look at budget cuts, lawmakers want more than ever to see encouraging results. The pressure is on to either produce results or re-think spending priorities.

With less research money available, will high-profile projects like ITER and NIF snatch

government money from smaller private firms like Laberge's?

An intensified scramble for cash could hurt other small players, such as Seattle-based [Helion Energy](#) and a secretive outfit with ties to the University of California called [TriAlpha Energy](#).

"I hope that ITER and NIF -- these two giant elephants in the room -- won't absorb all the resources in the world just to do fusion a particular way," says Wurden.

Whatever the case, China and India's huge

ADVERTISEMENT



Print Powered By  FormatDynamics™

ADVERTISEMENT



CNN.com

populations will need more and more energy each year and climatologists fear the worst from continued reliance on fossil fuels.

"We're burning the candle at both ends," Laberge says. "The standard of living is increasing rapidly due to technology and we're burning resources faster than they're being replenished. Sooner or later it's all going to come crashing down."

If that scenario comes to pass, will science be ready to tackle the challenge?

CNN's Curt Merrill contributed to this report.

[Log in](#) or [sign up](#) to comment

ADVERTISEMENT



Print Powered By  FormatDynamics™